Journal of Education and e-Learning Research

 $Vol.\ 9,\ No.\ 4,\ 240\text{-}248,\ 2022$ ISSN(E) 2410-9991 / ISSN(P) 2518-0169 DOI: 10.20448/jeelr.v9i4.4243 © 2022 by the authors; licensee Asian Online Journal Publishing Group



What's on your Mind? Impact of Online Education on Students' Mental Wellness

Joseph A. Villarama¹ John Paul E. Santos² Joseph P. Adsuara³ Jorri Anne Amethyst R. Antalan⁴ Jordan F. Gundran^{5 **}



1.2.3,4,6 Central Luzon State University, Science City of Muñoz, Nueva Ecija, Philippines.

¹Email: villaramajoseph120294@clsu.edu.ph

²Email: jpesantos81@clsu.edu.ph

^sEmail: joseph.adsuara@clsu.edu.ph

*Email: jorrianne.antalan@clsu2.edu.ph Email: jordangundran@clsu.edu.ph

Abstract

Due to the pandemic, institutions shifted online and away from in-person classes. Online education implementation and integration require adjustments and pedagogical skills. Overcoming social-distance protocols and ensuring education continues is one side. How students adapt needs more study. Stable internet and devices and hours in front of computers require careful consideration. Using a 4-point Likert scale and a self-made validated questionnaire on factors affecting mental wellness, with a reported internal consistency of 0.73, the present study differentiated mental wellness of respondents in terms of their age and sex through ANOVA, and identified factors affecting mental wellness of 100 online Filipino students, evaluated through percentage, mean, and SD, who participated in this mixed method study, which combined quantitative and qualitative research design. Most disagreed with and viewed online education as more difficult than in-person, which had significant effects on their mental wellness, from losing motivation to work on tasks to feeling less effective in lessons. Some had mental breakdowns, anxiety, and considered dropping out. Online education is a possible solution to continue learning until normalcy returns, but questionable in countries where thousands of households lack a stable internet connection and means to buy online education gadgets. Policymakers must create a positive education landscape considering everyone's welfare while educators are enjoined to innovate.

Keywords: COVID-19 pandemic, Learning environment, Mental breakdowns, Mental wellness, Online education, Secondary students.

Citation | Villarama, Joseph A.; Santos, John Paul E.; Adsuara, Joseph P.; Antalan, Jorri Anne Amethyst R. & Gundran, Jordan F. (2022). What's on your Mind? Impact of Online Education on Students' Mental Wellness. Journal of Education and e-Learning Research, 9(4): 240-248. 10.20448/jeelr.v9i4.4243.

History:

Contents

Received: 8 August 2022 Revised: 22 September 2022 Accepted: 5 October 2022 Published: 27 October 2022 **Licensed:** This work is

licensed under a Creative Commons

Attribution 4.0 License (cc) BY

Publisher: Asian Online Journal Publishing Group

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of

Transparency: The authors confirm that the manuscript is an honest, accurate, and transparent account of the study; that no vital features of the study have been omitted; and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained.

Ethical: This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

1. Introduction
2. Literature Review
3. Research Objectives
4. Methodology

2. Literature Keview	24 1
3. Research Objectives	245
4. Methodology	
5. Results and Discussions	
6. Conclusion	
References	944

Contribution of this paper to the literature

While the identified factors that affected online students' mental wellness serve as a foundation for educators and policy makers to establish a more inclusive policy on the implementation of online education, with the cooperation of the school and other stakeholders, maintaining online learners' holistic development is possible with online education.

1. Introduction

The onset of the coronavirus pandemic brought changes to the whole world since it spread like wildfire in early 2020. Economies (Peralta et al., 2022), businesses (Tibon, 2022), trade (Solis & Tadeo, 2022), industrial developments (Tecson, 2022) and education (Simon, 2022) were affected. Some aspects of societies were hit hard that caused unimaginable losses (Bradbury et al., 2022). Since usual face-to-face learning cannot be implemented in full due to the risk of contagion (Tordillo & Romupal, 2022), schools adapted online education (Brillantes, 2022) and ways to continuously deliver quality learning in spite of threats of the pandemic. This headed the realization of online education, relying heavily on technology and internet use (Greenhow, Graham, & Koehler, 2022). In the Philippines, the country was unprepared for a nationwide move to online education (Cabardo, Cabardo, & Cabardo-Mabida, 2022) including the fact that families cannot afford a reliable internet connection (Deocadez & Gayoles, 2022). According to recent studies, most Philippine schools adopted the new learning system (Lopez, Agustin, & Bag-oyen, 2022). Keeping students' mental wellness stable is another pandemic-related challenge (Rasheed, Fatima, & Tariq, 2022; Treceñe, 2022). This study examines how online education affected students' mental wellness. This study helps institutions since it identifies elements that affect students' mental wellness and helps teachers balance online education. Results help administrators adapt or design online education policy.

2. Literature Review

2.1. State of Education

The pandemic kept children out of classes (Shah, Fatima, & Akhtar, 2022). Online education was the alternative (Babbar & Gupta, 2022). Teachers must employ delivery strategies (Geverola, Mutya, Siason, & Bonotan, 2022). As claimed by Dela Pena-Bandalaria (2020) integrating information and communications technology (ICT) into online education improves education quality and ensures technology-driven teaching systems since it optimizes an enhanced delivery of education. Teachers and students use technologies to maximize learning (Lamsal, 2022). Due to the virus spreading, educational sectors globally adopted online education (Batulan, Trazo, & Dumdum, 2022) allowing lessons to continue and ensuring students' learning. According to a survey, the Philippines was unprepared for online education because most of the learners don't have an internet connection or a computer (Mariden, 2022). The Department of Education (DepEd) mandated modular and online education despite problems (Berbesada & Rondina, 2022; Tugano, Tria, & Tonio, 2022). The country's structure poses challenges, but the teaching and learning also depend on instructors' adaptation of innovative online pedagogy.

First-week online education boomed (Babbar & Gupta, 2022). Data showed students appreciated the setting (Cowan et al., 2022). Learning faded over time. Students questioned the online setting and distributed memes with complaints (El Filali, 2022; Somoano, 2022). COVID-19 revisions bored students (Pacaol & Siguan, 2022). Li and Dewaele (2020) found students to feel less bored in online than their in-person classes. Social and environmental factors induced ennui among students (Mousavian, Roohani, & Mirzaei, 2022). Escalating numbers of pandemic patients, cases, and countries stoked public panic. Social separation contributed to boredom. Being housebound caused worry (Perroy, Velasco, Gurchani, & Casati, 2022). When institutions switched to online education, they lost the benefit of face-to-face interaction. In-person meeting conventions and absence of actual connections hindered students (Balaza, Cruz, Ferraren, Cañares, & Avila, 2021).

2.2. Challenges of Online Education

Online education allows teaching and learning via the Internet or e-devices, which is far from traditional teaching and learning scenario (Pañares, Villanueva, Manzon, & Villar, 2022). In this setup, educational experiences using devices with internet access (Fortuna, 2022) students learn anywhere. For education, it uses the Internet (Tleuken et al., 2022), chat services (Kuhns & Dockray, 2022), texts (Morgan, 2022) and conferencing (Dash & Kuddus, 2022). Online education demands a wealth of resources, with teachers acting as instructors rather than content transmitters, and ICT considered as a physical resource to boost interest and learning (Wang & Torrisi-Steele, 2022). In certain communities, schooling is traditional, but requires improvement (Kim & Cho, 2022). Changing needs require global education to adapt.

2.3. Advantages of Online Education

Technology improves education (Danh, 2022). Online education requires versatile tools. Effective and secure learning involves internet tools (Lamsal, 2022). Teachers use text and audio-visual materials (Galy, Downey, & Johnson, 2011). This helps students give feedback, clarify, and master lessons (Cheriguene, Kabache, Kerrache, Calafate, & Cano, 2022; Warfvinge, Löfgreen, Andersson, Roxå, & Åkerman, 2022). Online education is improved by multiple platforms and tools. Online education allows participation anytime, anywhere (Azevedo, Lopes, Liberato, & Liberato, 2022). Online education saves money, time and resources in situations (Badge, Dawson, Cann, & Scott, 2008) like COVID-19 (Sumadi, Hidayat, & Agustina, 2022). Through online education, access to education becomes less expensive since learning materials are uploaded online compared to purchasing them at stores (Hjeltnes & Hansson, 2005). It is cheaper than classroom education (Pallavi, Ramachandran, & Chinnasamy, 2022). Learning individually saves time (Alalmai, Fatma, Arun, & Aarif, 2022). Students spread schedules but follow calendars. With the online education, students get the advantage to personalize their learning schedules like in prioritizing which homework to do first (Chan, Hogaboam, & Cao, 2022). Students with different coping and learning styles struggle to follow instructions (Setlhodi, 2019). Others prefer visual to audio learning (Shi, Revithis, & Chen, 2002). Online education is one of the best ways to create a pleasant learning environment

(Moreno, Sandoval, & Torres, 2022). Professionals take lessons online (Kraiger, Fisher, Grossman, Mills, & Sitzmann, 2022). Working adults struggle to study. Online education allows them to learn without quitting careers (Roberts, 2006). Online course selection depends on the needs and interests of the learners (Kadirbergenovna, 2022).

2.4. Disadvantages of Online Education

The change to online education presents various obstacles (Bora, 2021). Accessing and downloading materials isn't enough. Since learners have access and control on their computers at home, they tend to be overrelaxed that they missed deadlines and that they overlapped schedules. This is easy for experienced users. Course start-up is difficult and time-consuming. Since online education depends on internet connectivity and functional devices, technical glitches delay course completion (Lamsal, 2022). Despite improvements in certain places, many of the learners remain to experience to unstable internet connection. Without a steady internet connection, instructor and student lose continuity (Abbadi, Hefny, & El-Shafy, 2022). In-person learning is better. Students online communicate with instructors, but lack social contact. It lowers teacher and peer guidance. Online education is limited by the instructor's technological skills (Nikolopoulou, 2022). Tech-savvy teachers are needed. Teachers struggle to adjust to online pedagogy. Stress causes anxiety. Non-expert students and professors fear online education (Yaghi, 2022). Beginners have physical and emotional effects. Long use is required. Screen time promotes eye strain, improper posture, etc. (Ekemiri et al., 2022). Not all subjects can be taught online (Syam & Achmad, 2022). Social sciences and humanities do better than medical sciences and engineering, limiting some fields (Bora, 2021).

2.5. Mental Wellness of Students

With the pandemic, students are at risk to experiencing high anxiety, sadness, and mental stress (Bogardus, Blackinton, Litwin, Nelson, & Mitchell, 2021; Faisal, Jobe, Ahmed, & Sharker, 2022; Regehr, Glancy, & Pitts, 2013). Previous research demonstrated that people exhibit adverse emotional reactions like anxiety and despair during COVID-19 pandemic (Choi & Kim, 2022; Gaeta, Gaeta, & Rodriguez, 2021; Zhou et al., 2020). COVID-19 is an example of a public health emergency that has psychological repercussions on students, such as panic, anxiety, poor sleep quality and eventually diminished life satisfaction (Patrono et al., 2022). Since the start of the outbreak, the virus has been global concern, even surpassing the number of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) cases in 2003, which is a contagious illness manifested by fever, cough, pneumonia and respiratory failure (El-Shabasy, Nayel, Taher, Abdelmonem, & Shoueir, 2022). In the Philippines, all in-person classes were eliminated, and institutions transitioned to modular and online education, which had many repercussions in various parts of the academic community that could have had a direct impact on psychologically-related issues (Bustillo & Aguilos, 2022; DeDios, 2022). Overworked students developed emotional issues (Gumarang, 2022). In fact, most of students felt overburdened with multiple online coursework and some teachers don't structure learning objectives and activities well (Bishaw, Tadesse, Campbell, & Gillies, 2022; Chen et al., 2022). Online students lobbied for support and help due to overloaded class assignments. Schoolwork kept them from interacting physically.

Online education lacks face-to-face interaction, hindering communication (Ayawan, Duyapat, & Martin, 2022). Many questioned heavy learning circumstances without social support that caused emotional and mental issues. Online learning failed (Romli, Foong, Hong, Subramaniam, & Wan Yunus, 2022). Some students negotiated with professors to reduce responsibilities. Students lost community stability and social support needed for a healthy mind (Fathima & Sushruthi, 2022). Pandemic disruptions induced anxiety and panic among students (Aparajita, Cherukuri, & Ashwin, 2022). COVID-19 influenced pupils' mental wellness (Faisal et al., 2022; Gundogan, 2022). Chinese college students (25%) are anxious (Elharake, Akbar, Malik, Gilliam, & Omer, 2022). In Bangladesh, undergraduates, especially living with family in urban areas, suffer mild to severe depression (Faisal et al., 2022). Online students in the Philippines were anxious (Mahinay, Rollan, Punzalan, Reyes, & Tus, 2022). Bangladeshi university students (47%) suffered severe depression, and 70% reported mild to severe psychological distress (Bora, 2021).

2.6. Mental Wellness in the Gender Sphere

Pandemic and health interventions, such as self-quarantine, impair social relationships and empathy (Gammel & Wang, 2022). Support is limited, which exacerbates females' stress (Kurudirek, Arıkan, & Ekici, 2022). Females were more anxious. Social inequality, which assigns most domestic responsibilities to women, causes distress. Females care for children, parents, and relatives. Lockdown chores elevated females' stress and anxiety (Alhasani, Alkhawaji, & Orji, 2022). Women are more prone than males to feel mental wellness effects of loneliness (Ali et al., 2021). According to Wu et al. (2020) females are more sensitive to mental and emotional stress and have stronger emotional reactions. AlAzzam, Abuhammad, Abdalrahim, and Hamdan-Mansour (2021) revealed female and older students were more depressed and worried. Females risked major depressions (Kim et al., 2022). Females report more online education stress, isolation and poor mood, focus, motivation and performance. Females were more likely than males to confess COVID-19 harmed their education (Prowse et al., 2021). First-year women in informal settlements are prone to emotional and mental issues. Social, intellectual, mental, spiritual and physical wellness impact emotional and mental wellness (Visser & Law-van, 2021).

2.7. Mental Wellness in the Age Spectrum

Young people and children should not neglect the mental impacts of COVID-19. Younger students became sensitive to sadness, stress and anxiety (Ali et al., 2021), which reduced online education connection. Younger students are more worried about skipping online education than older students who fear for their future. Younger pupils may miss online education more. According to AlAzzam et al. (2021) older ones are gloomier and more jittery than younger ones. Older students reported increased impulsivity, sadness, and subjective well-being (Lin, 2020). Copeland et al. (2021) found that younger children and those who did not participate in wellness programs

were most affected mentally by the pandemic. Younger students may need more one-on-one time (Imran, Zeshan, & Pervaiz, 2020) as they adjust to the new normal.

3. Research Objectives

This research focuses on (1) identifying various factors that affect mental wellness of online secondary students and (2) differentiating mental wellness of online secondary students in terms of age and sex.

4. Methodology

4.1. Design

Through the use of an online survey tool, this study employed the mixed method design, which includes quantitative supplemented by qualitative research design. A total of 100 secondary students in the Philippines were surveyed in December 2021.

4.2. Participants

A total of 100 online secondary students in the Philippines participated in a survey questionnaire facilitated through an online tool. Participants gave consent and were informed of the purposes and other related concerns. The respondents were mainly female, comprising 68% of participants. The study population was dominated by students aged 16-17 years old (43%). The mean age was 15.2 years old. Table 1 presents the sociodemographic characteristics of the respondents in terms of their sex and age.

Variables	Category	Count	Percent
C	Male	32	32%
Sex	Female	68	68%
	12-13	21	21%
Age \bar{x} =15.2	14-15	29	29%
x=15.2 SD=1.68	16-17	43	43%
5D-1.00	10.00	-	70/

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of respondents

4.3. Instrument

The study utilized a 4-point Likert scale (4-Strongly Agree, 3-Agree, 2-Disagree, 1-Strongly Disagree), self-made validated questionnaire on factors affecting mental wellness in respective domains: workload and online education requirements, distractions from online education, motivation towards online education, feeling towards online education, convenience in the use of technology and the Internet, and socialization during online education. Also collected were their sexes and ages. A trial survey tested the questionnaire's validity. The final questionnaire had 34 items in five sub-domains. The first component asked their sex and age; and, the second assessed their mental wellness. The reported internal consistency was 0.73, qualifying the questionnaire as being acceptable.

4.4. Analysis

Raw data was evaluated through percentage, mean, and SD. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) compared respondents' mental wellness in terms of their age and sex.

5. Results and Discussions

This research investigated factors that influenced the mental wellness of online secondary students in the Philippines. Descriptive data were utilized to identify elements that affected mental wellness of Filipino secondary school students owing to online education (mean and standard deviation). Table 2 the identified factors affecting students' mental wellness are given and described. Similarly, Table 3 presents and describes summarized viewpoints of students regarding online education.

Table 2. Factors affecting students' mental wellness during online education.

Factors	Mean	SD	Description
Workload and Online Education Requirements	2.98	0.56	Agree
Distractions from Online Education	2.75	0.31	Agree
Motivation towards Online Education	2.70	0.48	Agree
Feeling towards Online Education	2.25	0.69	Disagree
Convenience in the Use of Technology and Internet	2.51	0.51	Agree
Socialization during Online Education	2.41	0.83	Disagree

Note:~1.00-1.74=Strongly Disagree;~1.75-2.49=Disagree;~2.50-3.24=Agree;~3.25-4.00=Strongly Agree.

This study covers secondary students' workload and online education requirements. Over 80% agreed that workloads were too heavy. When online education is taxing, time-consuming, difficult and aggravating, the likelihood of not completing tasks grows (Therisa & Sony, 2022). In similar research, children had a heavy workload, and teachers didn't manage goals and activities well (Bishaw et al., 2022; Chan et al., 2022). Engaging tasks, well-prepared educators and good design motivate students. This study found participants generally agree (x =2.70) with statements "getting frustrated and having no urge to work on their tasks" when asked about their level of motivation toward online education. Pekrun, Lichtenfeld, Marsh, Murayama, and Goetz (2017) argued while previous research showed unfavorable emotions hinder learning, cumulative tension and worry brought on by the pandemic easily demotivate and disengage student learning.

Confirming further respondents' reply regarding factors affecting mental wellness, qualitative analysis of data gathered from respondents was grouped into five themes. Based on the data, all responses were grouped into themes and core ideas yielded a negative perspective on online education as summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Respondents' perspectives on online education.

Themes	Core Ideas			
	Difficult to cope [up]			
Strong and Anvioty	Anxious in submitting tasks			
Stress and Anxiety	Failure to submit tasks			
	Not setting priorities to do tasks			
	More workloads with less time			
Tiredness and Burn out	Stayed up night to finish requirements			
	Doing tasks only before due date			
	Self-taught			
Drain and Exhaustion	Time pressured			
	Few extensions for deadlines were given			
	No socialization			
Disadvantage	No motivation to do tasks			
Disadvantage	Having responsibilities while at home instead of devoting oneself			
	studying			
	Teachers do not discuss thoroughly			
	Difficulty in reaching out the teachers			
Difficulty in learning	Students learn on their own			
Difficulty in learning	Modules are not enough to grasp lesson			
	Limited technology and internet connectivity			
	Did not learn much			

How people see online education today is disturbing. According to the survey, participants don't appreciate online education. Even though there is no explicit literature on how much students like online education, research on student satisfaction suggests they have concerns afterward. A respondent admitted:

"Exhausting and it negatively affects my mental health. I did not learn much compared to when we have face-to-face classes. It's tiring and no fun."

In consonance, when the Philippines transitioned to online education, this impacted psychological difficulties (Bustillo & Aguilos, 2022; DeDios, 2022). Overworked students became emotional (Gumarang, 2022). Some teachers don't structure objectives and activities well, which frustrates students (Bishaw et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022). Students must adapt to greater autonomy to feel comfortable in an online setting. Familiar may be flexible, reliable and responsive. Respondents in this study felt they are acclimated to online education in terms of flexibility, time consciousness and accountability. This may be because online education is seen as more of an inconvenience due to factors such as readiness and self-motivation (Chiu, Lin, & Lonka, 2021; Pekrun et al., 2017).

Table 4. Difference between the mental wellness of students when grouped according to sexes.

Assessed Mental Wellness	Mean	SD	df	F	р			
Workload & Online Education Requirements								
Female	3.029	0.512	1.99	1.569	0.213			
Male	2.879	0.648	1.99		0.213			
Distractions from Online Education								
Female	2.699	0.342	1.00	6.599*	0.012			
Male	2.867	0.210	1.99					
Motivation towards Online Education								
Female	2.788	0.434	1.00	7.194**	0.009			
Male	2.518	0.538	1.99					
Feeling towards Online Education	Feeling towards Online Education							
Female	2.123	0.674	1.99	7.498**	0.007			
Male	2.516	0.660	1.99					
Convenience in the Use of Technology and Internet								
Female	2.435	0.507	1.00	5.060*	0.027			
Male	2.675	0.476	1.99					
Socialization during Online Education	n	•		•	•			
Female	2.276	0.790	1.99	5.969*	0.016			
Male	2.700	0.849						
Note: *n<0.05 **n<0.01								

Note: *p<0.05 **p<0.01.

A series of one-way ANOVA was conducted at 5% level of significance, and preliminary assumption testing on normality and homogeneity of variance among others was executed without serious infraction. Table 4 presents highly significant differences between males and females in terms of feeling ($F_{(1,99)}=7.498$, p<0.01) and motivation towards online education ($F_{(1,99)}=7.194$, p<0.01). Males ($\bar{x}=2.516$, SD=0.660) tend to have better reception for online education than females ($\bar{x}=2.123$, SD=0.674). In a similar study, Wu et al. (2020) suggested that women are more susceptible to mental and emotional stress than males, and their emotional reactions are also more intense. Likewise, the percentage of women that considered their online education to have been hampered was higher than that of males (Prowse et al., 2021). Meanwhile, girls ($\bar{x}=2.788$, SD=0.434), on average, are more frustrated by online education than boys ($\bar{x}=2.518$, SD=0.538). As Ali et al. (2021) reported, negative consequences of loneliness on a person's mental wellness are more likely to be experienced by girls than boys. In parallel, Alhasani et al.

(2022) revealed females exhibited more anxiety and frustrations caused by social inequity, which places the majority of domestic tasks on girls when lockdown tasks and frequent studying increased tension and anxiety.

Table 4 also shows significant differences exist between the sexes in terms of how they perceive themselves on facing distractions from online education ($F_{(1,99)}=6.599$, p<0.05), how they socialize during online education ($F_{(1,99)}=5.969$, p<0.05) and how they perceive convenience technology and the Internet during online education ($F_{(1,99)}=5.060$, p<0.05). Statistically, boys ($\bar{x}=2.516$, SD=0.660) see themselves to be more distracted on other things during online education than girls ($\bar{x}=2.867$, SD=0.210). While there are various causes of male students' distractions, Oittinen, Háhn, and Räisänen (2022) revealed the presence of phones cause distraction due to regular or non-habitual use and their desire to be up-to-date with mobile games.

Further, when it comes to self-belief on being socially active during online education, males (\bar{x} =2.700, SD=0.849), on average, lead over females (\bar{x} =2.276, SD=0.790). In unison with the findings of Oittinen et al. (2022) they acknowledged non-habitual phone use to check incoming messages and explore social media, especially when the online lecture was boring, the phone was used to multitask and find more interesting online materials. Moreover, boys (\bar{x} =2.675, SD=0.476), on average, are more inclined than girls (\bar{x} =2.435, SD=0.507) when it comes to seeing technology and the Internet as being convenient during online education. Similarly, Magogwe, Mokibelo, and Karabo (2022) found students who identified as male reported higher levels of self-assurance when utilizing the Internet. In support of the findings of the present study, Areşan and Ţîru (2022) reported boys utilize various forms of technology.

Table 5. Difference between the mental wellness of students when grouped according to ages

Assessed Mental Wellness	Mean	SD	df	F	p
Workload & Online Education Re-	quirements	•		•	
Below the mean age	2.920	0.599	1.00	1.206	0.275
Above the mean age	3.043	0.517	1.99		
Distractions from Online Education	on			•	
Below the mean age	2.745	0.321	1.00	0.050	0.813
Above the mean age	2.760	0.311	1.99	0.056	
Motivation towards Online Educa	tion				
Below the mean age	2.657	0.470	1.99	0.000	0.363
Above the mean age	2.746	0.498		0.836	
Feeling towards Online Education	l				
Below the mean age	2.297	0.637	1.99	0.486	0.487
Above the mean age	2.200	0.745	1.99		
Convenience in the Use of Techno	logy and Intern	iet			
Below the mean age	2.512	0.448	1.99	0.000	1.000
Above the mean age	2.512	0.565			
Socialization during Online Educa	tion			•	
Below the mean age	2.320	0.797	1.99	1.005	0.269
Above the mean age	2.504	0.857		1.235	

Successions of One-way ANOVA were carried out to compare the effects of assessed mental wellness. Table 5 shows that there insufficient evidence to conclude significant differences exist in the respondents' ages in terms of reception to workload and requirements during online education ($F_{(1,99)}=1.206$, p>0.05), reaction to distractions from online education ($F_{(1,99)}=0.056$, p>0.05), motivation towards online education ($F_{(1,99)}=0.836$, p>0.05), feeling towards online education ($F_{(1,99)}=0.486$, p>0.05), convenience of technology and internet utilization ($F_{(1,99)}=0.000$, p>0.05) and socialization during online education ($F_{(1,99)}=1.235$, p>0.05). This is because the present study's respondents were dominated by 16-17 year old students. Students younger than 22 had an easier time navigating the Internet and its platforms since they were more accustomed to using them and were younger (Noor, Singh, Agarwal, Mansoori, & Ansari, 2022).

6. Conclusion

The pandemic impacted education. Public health required adaptation. This study revealed online programs may not have considered all the difficulties. Whether it's workload, instructional approach, social isolation, or internet device, the truth remains that online education doesn't meet students' needs, the research revealed. Online setup and consequences frustrated students. Most said online education is difficult, made them lose interest, disconnected them and caused internet and power interruptions. Wants and inadequate lessons hurt mental wellness. Mental breakdowns and anxiety lead others to stop and become uninspired. The data showed that students struggled to understand classes. Despite limited internet and computer access, participants felt overwhelmed. Online education favors the privileged in a country where many houses lack internet access and learning tools. Wellness is important, hence there should be less work for teachers and students. Learning-teaching method, instructor competence, participants' attention, online education environment, and time management affect online education motivation. The researchers advise examining teachers and students without internet or technology, comparing online and in-person academic accomplishment, and expanding the age range of respondents.

References

Abbadi, S., Hefny, H., & El-Shafy, H. A. (2022). Students' perceptions and insights towards online learning during Covid-19 crises. *Health Professions Education*, 8(1), 27-37.

Alalmai, A. A., Fatma, G., Arun, A., & Aarif, M. (2022). Significance and challenges of online education during and after Covid-19. *Turkish Journal of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation*, 32(2), 6509-6520.

- AlAzzam, M., Abuhammad, S., Abdalrahim, A., & Hamdan-Mansour, A. M. (2021). Predictors of depression and anxiety among senior high school students during COVID-19 pandemic: The context of home quarantine and online education. *The Journal of School Nursing*, 37(4), 241-248. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/1059840520988548.
- Alhasani, M., Alkhawaji, A., & Orji, R. (2022). Mental health and time management behavior among students during COVID-19 pandemic: Towards persuasive technology design. *Human Behavior & Emerging Technologies*, 1-13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/7376748.
- Ali, S., Tauqir, S., Farooqi, F. A., Al-Jandan, B., Al-Janobi, H., Alshehry, S., . . . Farooq, I. (2021). Psychological Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on students, assistants, and faculty of a Dental Institute of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(24), 13366. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182413366.
- Aparajita, A., Cherukuri, S. D., & Ashwin, R. (2022). Negative effects of COVID-19 pandemic on adolescent health: Insights, perspectives, and recommendations. *Journal of Global Health*, 12, 1-7. Available at: https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.12.03009.
- Areşan, D., & Ţîru, L. G. (2022). Students satisfaction with the online teaching process. *Academicus. International Scientific Journal*, 25, 184-193. Available at: https://doi.org/10.7336/academicus.2022.25.11.
- Ayawan, J. E., Duyapat, N. O., & Martin, A. B. (2022). An analysis of the oral communication barriers in face-to-face communications towards the development of an intervention program in speaking. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, 31, 183-202. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47577/tssj.v31i1.6487.
- Azevedo, P. Å., Lopes, M. C., Liberato, D., & Liberato, P. (2022). Technologies at the service of education: Present and future. In Advances in Tourism, Technology and Systems (pp. 189-201). Singapore: Springer.
- Babbar, M., & Gupta, T. (2022). Response of educational institutions to COVID-19 pandemic: An inter-country comparison. *Policy Futures in Education*, 20(4), 469-491. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/14782103211021937.
- Badge, J. L., Dawson, E., Cann, A. J., & Scott, J. (2008). Assessing the accessibility of online learning. Innovations in Education and Teaching International, 45(2), 103-113. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/14703290801948959.
- Balaza, E., Cruz, H., Ferraren, J., Cañares, G., & Avila, J. (2021). Embracing the challenges of online learning, keeping the passion for teaching burning: From the lens of private school teachers. Researchgate. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.33964.18561.
- Batulan, K. G., Trazo, S. J. C., & Dumdum, C. G. (2022). Offline learning: Lived experience of students in remote areas During the Covid-19 pandemic. *Multicultural Education*, 8(6), 237. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6726969.
- Berbesada, H. A., & Rondina, J. Q. (2022). Blended instructions in the new normal and students learning gains in mathematics. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 10(6), 398-400. Available at: https://doi.org/10.12691/education-10-6-4.
- Bishaw, A., Tadesse, T., Campbell, C., & Gillies, R. M. (2022). Exploring the unexpected transition to online learning due to the COVID-19 pandemic in an ethiopian-public-university context. *Education Sciences*, 12(6), 399.Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12060399.
- Bogardus, J. M., Blackinton, M., Litwin, B., Nelson, T. M., & Mitchell, K. (2021). Depression, anxiety, and stress in doctor of physical therapy students: Analysis of incidence and lived experiences. *Journal of Physical Therapy Education*, 35(3), 251-257. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/jte.000000000000185.
- Bora, G. S. G. S. (2021). Challenges of online learning: Digital inequality in the context of India. PalArch's Journal of Archaeology of Egypt/Egyptology, 18(4), 4234-4239.
- Bradbury, A., Braun, A., Duncan, S., Harmey, S., Levy, R., & Moss, G. (2022). Crisis policy enactment: Primary school leaders' responses to the Covid-19 pandemic in England. *Journal of Education Policy*, 1-21.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/02680939.2022.2097316.
- Brillantes, C. J. A. (2022). Online education in times of the pandemic: A quantitative study of the learning experiences among Filipino preservice language teachers.
- Bustillo, E., & Aguilos, M. (2022). The challenges of modular learning in the wake of COVID-19: A digital divide in the Philippine countryside revealed. *Education Sciences*, 12(7), 449. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12070449.
- Cabardo, J. R. O., Cabardo, C. J. O., & Cabardo-Mabida, S. J. O. (2022). Challenges and mechanisms of teachers in the implementation of modular distance learning in the Philippines: A phenomenological study. Sapienza: International Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies, 3(1), 169-182. Available at: https://doi.org/10.51798/sijis.v3i1.223.
- Chan, L., Hogaboam, L., & Cao, R. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education. In Applied Artificial Intelligence in Business (pp. 265-278). Cham: Springer.
- Chen, V., Sandford, A., LaGrone, M., Charbonneau, K., Kong, J., & Ragavaloo, S. (2022). An exploration of instructors' and students' perspectives on remote delivery of courses during the COVID-19 pandemic. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(3), 512-533 Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/biet.13905.
- 533. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13205.

 Cheriguene, A., Kabache, T., Kerrache, C. A., Calafate, C. T., & Cano, J. C. (2022). NOTA: A novel online teaching and assessment scheme using blockchain for emergency cases. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(1), 115-132. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10629-6.
- Chiu, T. K., Lin, T.-J., & Lonka, K. (2021). Motivating online learning: The challenges of COVID-19 and beyond (Vol. 30, pp. 187-190): Springer.
- Choi, J., & Kim, K.-H. (2022). The differential consequences of fear, anger, and depression in response to COVID-19 in South Korea.

 *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(11), 6723. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19116723.
- Copeland, W. E., McGinnis, E., Bai, Y., Adams, Z., Nardone, H., Devadanam, V., & Hudziak, J. J. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on college student mental health and wellness. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 60(1), 134-141. e132.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2020.08.466.
- Cowan, E., Altschafl, B., Foertsch, J., Barnes, D., Lasarev, M., & Pelley, E. (2022). A new normal: Assessment outcomes and recommendations for virtual versus in-person curricula in post-COVID-19 times. *Medical Science Educator*, 32(2), 379-387. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-022-01534-9.
- Danh, N. T. (2022). Challenges and advantages of online teaching: The role of applying information technology in lectures and classes. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6355-6360.
- Dash, A., & Kuddus, K. (2022). Educational technology: A last resort to academia amid pandemic. In Future of Work and Business in Covid-19 Era. Singapore: Springer.
- DeDios, C. B. O. (2022). Children's home learning during COVID-19 pandemic: The lived experiences of selected Filipino parents on remote learning. Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 1(2), 147-158.
- Dela Pena-Bandalaria, M. (2020). Impact of ICTs on open and distance learning in a developing country setting: The Philippine experience.

 *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 8(1), 1-15. Available at: https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v8i1.334.

 *Deocadez, R. G., & Gayoles, L. A. M. (2022). Emerging adult graduating students adapting to the covid-19 pandemic: A phenomenological
- Deocadez, R. G., & Gayoles, L. A. M. (2022). Emerging adult graduating students adapting to the covid-19 pandemic: A phenomenological study. Retrieved from https://journalspress.com/LJRHSS_Volume22/Emerging-Adult-Graduating-Students-Adapting-to-the-Covid-19-Pandemic-A-Phenomenological-Study.pdf.
- Ekemiri, K., Ezinne, N., Kamalodeen, K., Pierre, K., Lalla, B., Amiebenomo, O., & Agho, K. E. (2022). Online e-learning during the COVID-19 lockdown in Trinidad and Tobago: Prevalence and associated factors with ocular complaints among school children aged 11–19 years. *Peer Journal*, 10, e13334. Available at: https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13334.
- El-Shabasy, R. M., Nayel, M. A., Taher, M. M., Abdelmonem, R., & Shoueir, K. R. (2022). Three waves changes, new variant strains, and vaccination effect against COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 204, 161-168. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.01.118.
- El Filali, A. (2022). Students' (Dis) satisfaction with synchronous online learning in times of COVID-19: Essaouira higher school of technology as case study. *The Journal of Quality in Education*, 12(19), 78-90.

- Elharake, J. A., Akbar, F., Malik, A. A., Gilliam, W., & Omer, S. B. (2022). Mental health impact of COVID-19 among children and college students: A systematic review. Child Psychiatry & Human Development, 1-13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-021-01297 - 1.
- Faisal, R. A., Jobe, M. C., Ahmed, O., & Sharker, T. (2022). Mental health status, anxiety, and depression levels of Bangladeshi university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction, 20(3), 1500-1515.
- Fathima, N., & Sushruthi, C. (2022). Role of psychological flexibility and social support satisfaction on the mental health of young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Indian Journal of Youth and Adolescent Health*, 9(1), 11-17. Available at: https://doi.org/10.24321/2349.2880.202202.
- Fortuna, R. (2022). Students' social media access, sources, and reasons for utilization: Basis for integration in the curriculum. Studies in Humanities and Education, 3(1), 48-64.
- Gaeta, M. L., Gaeta, L., & Rodriguez, M. d. S. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 home confinement on mexican university students: Emotions, coping strategies, and self-regulated learning. Frontiers in Psychology, *12*, 642823.Available https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.642823.
- Galy, E., Downey, C., & Johnson, J. (2011). The effect of using e-learning tools in online and campus-based classrooms on student performance. Journal of Information Technology Education: Research, 10(1), 209-230. Available at: https://doi.org/10.28945/1503.
- Gammel, I., & Wang, J. (2022). Creative resilience and COVID-19: Figuring the everyday in a pandemic: Routledge.

 Geverola, I. J. R., Mutya, R. C., Siason, L. M. B., & Bonotan, A. (2022). Challenges and struggles of public senior high school science teachers during the new normal. Journal of Research, Policy & Practice of Teachers and Teacher Education, 12(1), 49-68.
- Greenhow, C., Graham, C. R., & Koehler, M. J. (2022). Foundations of online learning: Challenges and opportunities. Educational Psychologist, *57*(3), 131-147. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2022.2090364.
- Gumarang, J. B. K. (2022). Unraveling the untold stories of university students on mental health struggles: An exploratory study. $\label{lem:linear_energy} \textit{International Journal of Qualitative Research, 2(1), 48-53. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47540/ijqr.v2i1.570.}$
- Gundogan, S. (2022). The relationship of COVID-19 student stress with school burnout, depression and subjective well-being: Adaptation of the COVID-19 student stress scale into Turkish. Asia-Pacific Education Researcher. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-021-00641-2.
- Hjeltnes, T. A., & Hansson, B. (2005). Cost effectiveness and cost efficiency in e-learning. QUIS-quality, interoperability and standards in e-learning, Norway. Retrieved from http://www2.tisip.no/quis/public_files/wp7-cost-effectiveness-efficiency.pdf.
- Imran, N., Zeshan, M., & Pervaiz, Z. (2020). Mental health considerations for children & adolescents in covid-19 pandemic. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, 36(COVID19-S4), 1-6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.covid19-s4.2759.
- Kadirbergenovna, B. L. (2022). Massive open online course basic requirements for digital educational resources. Paper presented at the Conference Zone.
- Kim, S., & Cho, Y. (2022). Complicit mobility: Southeast Asian students in Korean Studies and their inter-Asia knowledge migrations. Globalisation, Societies and Education, 1-13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/14767724.2022.2095502.
- Kim, H., Rackoff, G. N., Fitzsimmons-Craft, E. E., Shin, K. E., Zainal, N. H., Schwob, J. T., & Newman, M. G. (2022). College mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results from a nationwide survey. Cognitive therapy and research, 46(1), 1-10. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-021-10241-5.
- Kraiger, K., Fisher, S., Grossman, R., Mills, M. J., & Sitzmann, T. (2022). Online IO graduate education: Where are we and where should we go? Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 15(2), 151-171. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/iop.2021.144.

 J. L., & Dockray, S. (2022). Chat services and COVID-19: Trends in Ask a Librarian. Retrieved from
- https://stars.library.ucf.edu/ucfscholar/1113.

 Kurudirek, F., Arıkan, D., & Ekici, S. (2022). Relationship between adolescents' perceptions of social support and their psychological well-
- being during COVID-19 Pandemic: A case study from Turkey. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 137, 106491. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2022.106491.
- Lamsal, B. (2022). Exploring issues surrounding a safe and conducive digital learning space in Nepal: A preparation for online education in the post-pandemic Era. In Socioeconomic Inclusion During an Era of Online Education (pp. 246-263): IGI Global.
- Li, C., & Dewaele, J.-M. (2020). The predictive effects of trait emotional intelligence and online learning achievement perceptions on foreign language class boredom among Chinese university students. Foreign Languages and Foreign Language Teaching, 5, 33-44.
- Lin, M. P. (2020). Prevalence of internet addiction during the covid-19 outbreak and its risk factors among junior high school students in International Journal of Environmental and Public Health, Research 17(22). Taiwan. 1-12.Available https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228547.
- Lopez, L. S., Agustin, L. V. T., & Bag-oyen, R. A. (2022). Are our teachers ready in going online? American Journal of Educational Research, 10(4), 201-207.
- Magogwe, J. M., Mokibelo, E. B., & Karabo, L. (2022). Online learning during covid-19: Readiness of communication and academic literacy skills students at the university of Botswana. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 21(1).

 Mahinay, I. K., Rollan, D., Punzalan, C., Reyes, J. M., & Tus, J. (2022). The happiness and its relationship on the anxiety of senior high
- school students during COVID-19 pandemic. Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal, 2(2), 143-148. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6565350.
- Mariden, V.-C. D. (2022). Students' adaptability challenges on online learning in a Philippine public university: Input for academic policy modification. Journal of Positive School Psychology, 6(3), 3284-3300.

 Moreno, R. J. A., Sandoval, M. D. F., & Torres, R. L. A. (2022). The importance of the planning and design of a virtual learning environment
- and how this contributes to the development of students' skills and competencies that foster meaningful and autonomous learning.
- Morgan, H. (2022). Alleviating the challenges with remote learning during a pandemic. Education Sciences, 12(2), 109. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12020109.
- Mousavian, R. S. E., Roohani, A., & Mirzaei, A. (2022). Developing and validating precursors of students' boredom in EFL classes: An exploratory sequential mixed-methods study. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, 1-18.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/01434632.2022.2082448.
- Nikolopoulou, K. (2022). Online education in early primary years: Teachers' practices and experiences during the COVID-19 pandemic. Education Sciences, 12(2), 76. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12020076.
- Noor, R., Singh, D., Agarwal, A., Mansoori, S., & Ansari, M. I. (2022). Perception of dental students towards the online method of dental education during the COVID-19 pandemic. Journal of Oral Biology and Craniofacial Research, 12(2), 223-227. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2022.02.002.
- Oittinen, T., Háhn, J., & Räisänen, T. (2022). University students' (dis) engagement experiences in synchronous sessions during the COVID-19 pandemic. Digital Culture & Education, 14(3).
- Pacaol, N. F., & Siguan, A. A. (2022). Academic procrastination in the age of online education in the Philippines. In Youth Exclusion and Empowerment in the Contemporary Global Order: Contexts of Economy, Education and Governance (pp. 75-93): Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Pallavi, D., Ramachandran, M., & Chinnasamy, S. (2022). An empirical study on effectiveness of E-learning over conventional class room learning-a case study with respect to online degree programmes in higher education. Recent Trends in Management and Commerce, 3(1), 25-33.Available at: <u>http://doi.org/10.46632/rmc/3/1/5</u>.
- Pañares, J. J. E., Villanueva, A. C., Manzon, R. D. S., & Villar, M. J. (2022). A comparative analysis of perceptions of satisfaction in E-learning and traditional face-to-face instruction of engineering undergraduates in Pampanga. International Journal of Progressive Research in Science and Engineering, 3(05), 153-162.
- Patrono, A., Renzetti, S., Manco, A., Brunelli, P., Moncada, S. M., Macgowan, M. J., & Rota, M. (2022). COVID-19 aftermath: Exploring the mental health emergency among students at a Northern Italian university. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 19(14), 8587. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19148587.

 Pekrun, R., Lichtenfeld, S., Marsh, H. W., Murayama, K., & Goetz, T. (2017). Achievement emotions and academic performance:
- Longitudinal models of reciprocal effects. Child Development, 88(5), 1653-1670. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12704.

- Peralta, P. F. B., Bernal, M. H. J. E., Diche, R. J. C., La, A. A. R., Ignacio Jr, C., Lugay, P., & Ngo, J. K. (2022). Developing a business strategy for the recovery of micro, small, and medium-sized food service enterprises affected by the COVID-19 pandemic using structural equation modelling.
- Perroy, B., Velasco, P. F., Gurchani, M. U., & Casati, R. (2022). Temporal disorientation and the Covid-19 crisis: Present episodic confusion, past-oriented sustained disbelief, and future-oriented anxiety are the three main ways in which people were temporally disoriented during the pandemic.
- Prowse, R., Sherratt, F., Abizaid, A., Gabrys, R. L., Hellemans, K. G., Patterson, Z. R., & McQuaid, R. J. (2021). Coping with the COVID-19 pandemic: Examining gender differences in stress and mental health among university students. Frontiers in Psychiatry, 12, 650759.Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.650759.
- Rasheed, N., Fatima, I., & Tariq, O. (2022). University students' mental well-being during COVID-19 pandemic: The mediating role of resilience between meaning in life and mental well-being. *Acta Psychologica*, 227, 103618-103618.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2022.103618.
- Regehr, C., Glancy, D., & Pitts, A. (2013). Interventions to reduce stress in university students: A review and meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders, 148(1), 1-11. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2012.11.026.
- Roberts, T. S. (2006). Self, Peer, and Group Assessment in E-Learning: An Introduction Self, peer and group assessment in E-learning (pp. 1-16): IGI Global.
- Romli, M. H., Foong, C. C., Hong, W.-H., Subramaniam, P., & Wan Yunus, F. (2022). Restructuring education activities for full online learning: findings from a qualitative study with Malaysian nursing students during Covid-19 pandemic. BMC Medical Education, 22(1), 1-17.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-022-03587-1.
- Setlhodi, I. I. (2019). The value of pacing in promoting self-directed learning Self-directed learning strategies in adult educational contexts (pp. 1-22): IGI Global.
- Shah, A. A., Fatima, Z., & Akhtar, I. (2022). University students' engagement and satisfaction level in on-line and face to face learning: A comparative analysis. *Competitive Social Science Research Journal*, 3(1), 67-78.
- Shi, H., Revithis, S., & Chen, S. S. (2002). An agent enabling personalized learning in e-learning environments. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the first International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems: Part 2.
- Simon, P. D. (2022). Calling attention to mental health issues in a developing country: Views and recommendations from the Philippines post-elections. Asian Journal of Psychiatry, 74, 103198. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajp.2022.103198.
 Solis, G. G., & Tadeo, J. B. (2022). An analysis of the Philippine aggregate demand, money supply and interest rate: A monetarist perspective
- in economic-pandemic scenario. Asia Pacific Journal of Academic Research in Business Administration, 8(1), 29-37.
- Somoano, I. B. (2022). The right-leaning be memeing: Extremist uses of Internet memes and insights for CVE design. First Monday.
- Sumadi, C. D., Hidayat, A., & Agustina, I. (2022). Literature study: Analysis of learning facilities in the pandemic era on the effectiveness of online learning in elementary school. Widyagogic: Journal of Elementary School Education and Learning, 9(2), 183-190.

 Syam, R. Z. A., & Achmad, W. (2022). Online learning in higher education: Analysis during the pandemic Covid-19. Mantik Journal, 5(4),
- 2256-2261.
- Tecson, K. (2022). The role of philippine government to micro, small, and medium-size enterprises (MSMEs) after the COVID-19 pandemic. Small, and Medium-Size Enterprises (MSMEs) after the COVID-19 Pandemic. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4117490.
- Therisa, B. K. K., & Sony, M. (2022). Student workload assessment for online learning: An empirical analysis during Covid-19. Cogent Engineering, 9(1), 2010509. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/23311916.2021.2010509.
- Tibon, M. V. P. (2022). Organizational agility among selected SMEs in the Philippines during the covid-19 pandemic: Genesis and implications. Review of Integrative Business and Economics Research, 11, 253-259.
- Tleuken, A., Turkyilmaz, A., Unger, K., Tokazhanov, G., El-Thalji, I., Mostafa, M. Y., & Karaca, F. (2022). Which qualities should built environment possess to ensure satisfaction of higher-education students with remote education during pandemics? Building and Environment, 207, 108567.
- Tordillo, M. S., & Romupal, E. L. (2022). New normal educational system as a response to COVID-19 pandemic: Factors & outcomes. Academic Voices, 51-54.
- Treceñe, J. K. D. (2022). COVID-19 and remote learning in the Philippine basic education system: Experiences of teachers, parents, and students Socioeconomic Inclusion During an Era of Online Education (pp. 92-110): IGI Global.

 Tugano, M. S., Tria, J. Z., & Tonio, J. Z. (2022). Modular learning amidst COVID-19 Pandemic: Satisfaction among students in a higher
- education institution. International Journal of Professional Development, Learners and Learning, 4(2), ep2206.Available at: https://doi.org/10.30935/ijpdll/12075.
- Visser, M., & Law-van, W. E. (2021). University students' mental health and emotional wellbeing during the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing lockdown. South African Journal of Psychology, 51(2), 229–243. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/00812463211012219. Wang, V., & Torrisi-Steele, G. (2022). Connecting Teachers' Dispositions With Their Teaching Philosophies Dispositional Development and
- Assessment in Teacher Preparation Programs (pp. 36-49): IGI Global.
- Warfvinge, P., Löfgreen, J., Andersson, K., Roxå, T., & Åkerman, C. (2022). The rapid transition from campus to online teaching—how are students' perception of learning experience affected? European Journal of Engineering Education, 47(2), 211-229. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/03043797.2021.1942794.
- Wu, M., Xu, W., Yao, Y., Zhang, L., Guo, L., Fan, J., & Chen, J. (2020). Mental health status of students' parents during COVID-19 pandemic and its influence factors. *General Psychiatry*, 33(4), 1-9. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1136/gpsych-2020-100250.
- Yaghi, A. (2022). Online education and anxiety among university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. American Journal of Educational Research, 10(3), 155-160.
- Zhou, S.-J., Zhang, L.-G., Wang, L.-L., Guo, Z.-C., Wang, J.-Q., Chen, J.-C., & Chen, J.-X. (2020). Prevalence and socio-demographic correlates of psychological health problems in Chinese adolescents during the outbreak of COVID-19. European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry, 29(6), 749-758. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-020-01541-4.

Asian Online Journal Publishing Group is not responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability, etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author of the article.